#### **6.0** General Recommendations

While the earlier sections of this Stream Management Plan (SMP) provided reach by reach recommendations for management of the East Kill stream system, there are a significant number of recommendations that will be more effective if implemented on a watershed, community or even programmatic scale. These recommendations were set forth using best judgment, and attempt to think broadly and provide a framework for watershed stakeholders to refine specific actions. In the following sections, the East Kill SMP sets forth a fairly detailed list of recommendations related to Education and Outreach, Flood Prevention, Water Quality, Public Enjoyment, Fisheries, Riparian Buffers, Stream Management and Programmatic issues.

#### **Recommendation Structure**

In the sections that follow, recommendations include short descriptive narratives, any important background or justification data and a series of standard tables which summarize individual recommendations in each subject area. In each section, information on issues such as funding sources and partnerships represents the range of possible opportunities based on past support or knowledge of funding sources. The listing of any specific agency or group does not represent a commitment by any one entity. You will note that the priority of each recommendation has been left blank. It is the intention of the East Kill Project Team to complete this section of each recommendation upon the completion of an extensive public review process and the development of an annual prioritized action plan. The following information is provided in the recommendation tables that follow;

Recommendation	Narrative description of the actual recommendation
Funding Source	Identifies possible funding source, does not reflect commitment of any individual entity. Funding must be sought on project by project basis.
Task Leader	Identifies lead agency for the project. Based on typical roles of listed entities, or past involvement in similar activities. Does not represent a commitment by any individual entity to assume such a role.
Task Partners	Identified range of potential partners. Based on project team knowledge of programs and interests of various agencies or groups. May include other entities not specifically listed.

Estimated Costs	In many cases, costs are listed as undetermined. In instances when a cost is provided it represents a preliminary estimate. Costs will be better defined in the prioritized annual action plan.
Schedule	Schedule is to be considered tentative as best. Final schedule will depend on priorities, funding source and other factors. The schedule will be more specific in the prioritized annual action plan.
Notes	Provides supplemental information on related on-going or planned activities

#### **6.1** Flood Protection

In the East Kill watershed, flooding has been a concern since the early settlement of the valley. Of course it is impossible to prevent floods, but watershed stakeholders can work proactively to reduce or prevent flooding impacts on the community. As discussed in Section 2.4, the East Kill is highly prone to flooding activities and historically has resulted in significant damages and the expenditure if significant resources to repair these damages. Flood related damages and recovery expenditures strain local resources and disrupt the fragile economy of these small rural communities. The impact of floods on private property, public infrastructure and the quality of life, is one of the primary concerns of many watershed stakeholders. The recommendations of the following section represent projects both ongoing and proposed initiatives which could be implemented to reduce flood impacts. The recommendations are broken into flood mapping & regulation, educations and outreach, and miscellaneous categories.

## Flood Mapping and Review of Floodplain Management Regulations

Revised flood maps (Flood Insurance Rate maps, or FIRMs) for the East Kill Creek have been initiated under the leadership of NYSDEC working in partnership with the NYCDEP, GCSWCD the Army Corp of Engineers and the Federal Emergency Management Agency. The new flood hazard maps will integrate the latest digital map production and presentation technologies to produce highly accurate maps which are very user-friendly. While older FIRM maps often made it difficult to locate a specific location or structure, the new FIRM maps are based on detailed aerial photography and terrain maps. This next-generation of FIRM maps are currently being finalized for the East Kill.

While accurate flood maps are a valuable planning tool, they are only effective when used in conjunction with local regulations. In the East Kill watershed, many towns have local floodplain ordinances in place as a requirement of participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). These local regulations are generally over 20 years old, and regular review of the rules helps serve both landowner and community interests. All floodplain ordinances should be updated to reflect the new and improved maps and other technologies, as well as to better reflect local conditions and needs. Additionally, training and on-going technical support will be a major factor in the use of this new mapping tool.

6.1.1	Digital FIRM Maps		
Recommendation	Watershed communities should adopt the new digital flood maps for the East Kill Watershed, adopt a new floodplain protection ordinance and actively participate in training and outreach efforts.		
Priority	High Task Leader NYSDEC - Flood Protection		
Funding Sources	ACOE - WRDA Grant NYCDEP (project/in-kind) GCSWCD (in-kind)	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP Municipalities GC Planning Dept.
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Dependent on map production schedule
Notes			

6.1.2	FIRM Technical Support			
Recommendation	The GCSWCD should support local municipalities in the use of the new FIRM maps.			
Priority	High	High Task Leader GCSWCD		
Funding Sources	NYCDEP Greene County Municipalities	Task Partners	NYCDEP NYSDEC Municipalities GC Planning Dept.	
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule On-going			
Notes	GCSWCD and NYCDEP will review tasks and determine level of support appropriate. Additional funds will be sought as necessary to support technical assistance.			

6.1.3	Flood Ordinance Review				
Recommendation	Municipalities in the Watershed should conduct a review of current floodplain ordinances and adopt revisions as appropriate. Revisions should reflect current building trends, new technologies compliance and integrate broader community plans as appropriate.				
Priority	High	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities			
Funding Sources	NYCDEP GCSWCD Greene County Municipalities FEMA/SEMO	Task Partners	GCSWCD  NYCDEP  NYSDEC - Flood  GC Planning Dept.  GC Emergency Services  FEMA/SEMO		
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule To Be Determined				
Notes	The GCSWCD will provide technical and administrative support to the review process. Additional partners such as NYSDEC and the Greene County Planning Department should be consulted as appropriate.				

6.1.4	Community Rating System			
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities should evaluate participation in the FEMA Community Rating System.			
Priority	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities			
Funding Sources	FEMA SEMO Municipalities NYSDOS Greene County	Task Partners	GCSWCD GC Planning Dept. NYSDEC NYCDEP FEMA/SEMO NYS/National FPM Association	
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule On-going			
Notes	Municipalities may be able to reduce flood insurance premium rates under the Community Rating System. The Municipalities are strongly encouraged to adopt a "No Rise/Good Neighbor" clause in their revised floodplain ordinance.			

# **Education and Outreach**

In general, there is a need to provide landowners, municipal officials, planners, developers and other stakeholders in the watershed with information regarding floodplain locations, floodplain function, flood mapping and the impact of floodplain development on stream conditions. The following summary of possible education and outreach initiatives

represents only some possible programs or activities.

# Flood Damage Prevention Library

FEMA, the National Association of Floodplain Managers and others have developed extensive materials to assist watershed stakeholders in making sound development decisions related to flooding and flood damage prevention. These materials can only be effective if they are readily available to a wide audience. The East Kill Project Team recommends that a local repository for these types of publications be developed in several locations in the watershed. Local libraries in the watershed may be willing to provide shelf space for publications in accordance with NFIP standards. Annually, a notice should be published in local newspapers a providing notification on the availability of the flood damage prevention library.

6.1.5	Flood Prevention Library			
Recommendation	Access to flood prevention/protection information should be established at multiple repositories in the East Kill watershed.			
Priority	Medium	Task Leader	GCSWCD	
Funding Sources	FEMA - HMGP FEMA - FMAP NYC DEP	Task Partners	Watershed Municipalities Local Libraries GC Planning Department	
Estimated Cost	\$2,500 Schedule To Be Determined			
Notes	FEMA and others make many of these publications available for free. Funding is needed to allow for person to coordinate ordering of publications, arranging a location for the flood library, and working with the municipalities to coordinate. Project would make a good summer intern project. Town code enforcement office could serve as a repository.			

# **Special Flood Hazard Zone Notification**

In many instances, landowners are uncertain as to the presence and location of Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA) (designated on FIRM Maps) on their property. Knowledge of flood prone areas can help landowners make informed decisions regarding flood risk when considering development and use of their property. Landowners also require accurate knowledge of SFHA when seeking financing from lending institutions. Recent

digitization of the real property tax parcels in the NYC watershed, and the development of digital flood maps by NYSDEC can be integrated into a database which would allow for notification of landowners regarding the presence of SFHA on or near their property or business. The database can be used to develop a mailing list of properties with a SFHA present, and periodically a direct mailing can be made to each property owner.

6.1.6	SFHA Notification		
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities should facilitate periodic notification to landowners who have special flood hazard areas (SFHA) located on their property.		
Priority	Medium Task Leader To be determined		
Funding Sources	Municipalities Greene County FEMA/SEMO Private Foundations	Task Partners	GCSWCD Watershed Municipalities GC Planning Department NYSDEC – floodplain SEMO/FEMA GC Real Property Office
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule Dependent on funding availability		
Notes	Recommendation cannot move forward until digital flood maps are adopted. Program must integrate GIS based data base to be effective.		

6.1.7	Flood Hazard Education Sessions		
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities, working with local and state agencies, should support periodic training sessions on flood related issues. Audience should include municipal leaders, code enforcement staff, planning boards, landowners, realtors, lending institutions and others.		
Priority	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities		
Funding Sources	NRCS - rehabilitation funds Grants NYCDEP	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP NYSDEC Greene County (various agencies) Cornell Cooperative Extension
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule Dependent on funding availability		
Notes	NYSDEC and NYSDOS have established education programs geared to local municipalities.		

## **Flood Damage Data Base**

Documenting flood damages costs can provide multiple benefits to a community. Areas that demonstrate repetitive damage can be prioritized for mitigation because this cumulative cost damage data provides justification for mitigation grant program funding. Often, funding is based on a cost/benefit analysis which requires extensive documentation of past damages.

6.1.8	Flood Damage Database			
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities should facilitate development of a flood damage reporting system to track types of flooding, their location and the costs associated with flood damage.			
Priority	High Task Leader Local Municipalities			
Funding Sources	Greene County Municipalities FEMA-HMGP NYCDEP NYSDEC	Task Partners	GCSWCD  Municipalities GC Planning Department GC Highway Department GC Emergency Services Office Landowners	
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule Dependent on funding availability			
Notes	Database development should attempt to collect records on past floods to get started; all flooding damages should be reported even if localized. Program will require training, and administrative support to insure success.			

## **Flood Mitigation Planning**

In 2000, the Disaster Mitigation Act (DMA) resulted in significant changes in the mitigation programs offered by FEMA. Under the 2000 DMA, local communities seeking funding under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and Flood Mitigation Assistance Program will be required to have an All Hazards Mitigation Plan approved by FEMA to be eligible for these funds. These plans are designed to reduce repeat flood damages within a community and can improve a community's Community Rating within the National Flood Insurance Program. As previously mentioned, improvements in the Community Rating can reduce local flood insurance rates to individuals and businesses.

	Hazard Mitigation Plans		
6.1.9			
Recommendation	East Kill watershed communities are encouraged to participate in the development of the county-wide All Hazards Mitigation Plan.		
Priority	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities		
Funding Sources	FEMA - HMGP In-kind GCSWCD In-kind GC Planning In-kind GC Emergency Svc NYCDEP Greene County	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYSDEC - Dam Safety SEMO/FEMA NYCDEP GC Emergency Services, GC Planning Law enforcement, various Landowners and Others
Estimated Cost	\$25,000 Est.	Schedule	TBC in 2008
Notes	FEMA has Mitigation Planning Guidance Documents available at <a href="http://www.fema.gov/fima/planning.shtm">http://www.fema.gov/fima/planning.shtm</a>		

6.1.10	Establish Bank Erosion Monitoring Sites			
Recommendation	Identify, monument, and survey selected sites of bank erosion, assess their relative stability, and make prioritized recommendations for their treatment			
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD			
Funding Sources	NYCDEP Watershed Municipalities Project Advisory Committees			
Estimated Cost	Not Determined Schedule To Be Determined			
Notes	In 2006, ten bank erosion monitoring sites were established along the East Kill mainstem. A cross-section and long profile survey, particle size distribution, and bank erosion hazard index data was collected at each site.			

6.1.11	Identify infrastructure threatened by flooding or erosion		
Recommendation	Identify locations where road erosion, or are otherwise unst recommendations for their tree	able or threatene	verts may be threatened by bank d, and make prioritized
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	Watershed Municipalities NYCDEP GC Highway Departments

Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To Be Determined
Notes			

6.1.12	Identify infrastructure threatened by flooding or erosion			
Recommendation	Identify locations where improved or residential areas may be threatened by bank erosion, and make prioritized recommendations for their treatment.			
Priority	High	High Task Leader GCSWCD		
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	Watershed Municipalities NYCDEP	
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To Be Determined	
Notes				

## **6.2** Public Recreation

Historically, the Catskills have been a draw for the wide range of recreational activities they provide. Hiking, camping, fishing and family vacations at the former great resorts have all drawn people from the surrounding metropolitan areas. Tourism has changed since the hay days of the grand resorts in the Catskills, and there is hope in the new emphasis on "eco-tourism." While the East Kill watershed has much to offer its visitors, the Project Team would propose several recommendations that could enhance public use and enjoyment of the stream system.

6.2.1	Water Temperature Impact	ts on Fisheries S	Study
Recommendation	Kill and associated impacts o high water temperature during and alter coldwater fish comm	n fisheries. Eve g summer low fl nunities. This st nited, and locate	ream temperatures along the East on streams with good water quality, ow periods can stress some species udy will assess if coldwater fish areas where habitat improvements rmal refuge that may need
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD

Funding Sources	NYSDEC NYCDEP Trout Unlimited	Task Partners	NYCDEP NYSDEC USGS Trout Unlimited Rod & Gun Clubs
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To be determined
Notes	NYCDEP has automatic s temperature data.	ampling equip	ment available to collect stream

6.2.2	<b>Public Fishing Access</b>		
Recommendation	Public access for fishing should be enhanced along the East Kill stream corridor. Additional public access, as well as improvements to parking and access trails, is representative of the type of activities which may be possible.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	NYSDEC/GCSWCD
Funding Sources	NYSDEC NYCDEP Trout Unlimited other grants	Task Partners	GCSWCD Local Municipalities Trout Unlimited East Kill Watershed PAC Landowners
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	To be determined
Notes	_	there appears	opportunities for enhancing public to be adequate overall access,

6.2.3	Streamside Recreational Trails		
Recommendation	Investigate opportunities to develop multi-use, low impact trail systems along the stream corridor.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	Watershed Municipalities
Funding Sources	NYSDEC CWC Other grants	Task Partners	GCSWCD  NYCDEP GC Promotion Dept. Local Chambers of Commerce East Kill Watershed PAC Landowners Resort owners
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined

through increased public awareness.
-------------------------------------

6.2.4	Economic Analysis of Fishing based Tourism		
Recommendation	Feasibility of developing a fishing based tourism business should be studied, including an economic analysis and review of resource limitations/needs		
Priority	High Task Leader GC Planning Dept GC Promotions Dept		
Funding Sources	CWC NYS Economic Development NYS I Love NY Program Foundation Grants Local Business GC Promotion/tourism depts.	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP NYSDEC Local business owners Local municipalities Landowners
Estimated Cost	Not determined Schedule Not determined		
Notes	Studies of fisheries based tourism in the lower Catskills have found these activities to have a significant benefit to the local economy. A study should address stream conditions, resource limitations and the status of support industries such as tackle shops.		

# **6.3** Water Quality

In the East Kill watershed, the protection of water quality must be recognized as having benefits to local stakeholders as well as New York City. While City residents may rely on the East Kill to meet their drinking water needs, it is also a critical resource to local communities. Maintaining high water quality in the East Kill, and its tributaries, is important to recreational activities, drinking water and ecological health of the stream system. The following recommendations are made based upon an awareness of on-going efforts in the NYC watershed, and in some cases may require further investigations and analysis to refine the scope of any proposed activities.

6.3.1	Community Stormwater Management Plans			
Recommendation	Watershed communities should develop and implement comprehensive stormwater management plans which will protect water quality as well as reduce impacts on stream morphology.			
Priority	High	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities		
Funding Sources	CWC - Stormwater Grants NYSDOS - Planning Grants NYCDEP – WQ Grants FEMA - HMGP	Task Partners	GCSWCD CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC NYSDOT GC Highway GC Planning	
Estimated Cost	Undetermined Schedule To be determined			
Notes	Watershed Municipalities should take advantage of CWC funds to complete these plans.			

6.3.2	Watershed Agricultural Program			
Recommendation	The GCSWCD should continue to facilitate participation in the Watershed Agricultural Program. WAP activities should be integrated with the goals and recommendations of the East Kill Stream Management Plan.			
Priority	Low Task Leader Watershed Agricultural Council			
Funding Sources	Watershed Ag Program NYS Ag & Markets  Task Partners WAP NRCS			
Estimated Cost	Varies by project Schedule On-going			
Notes	While there is no large scale agriculture in the watershed, there are a few small farms in the headwaters of several tributaries which may qualify for participation in the Watershed Agricultural Program Small Farms Program.			

6.3.3	Critical Area Seeding Program		
Recommendation	Local municipalities, Greene County Highway Departments and NYSDOT, should place a priority on vegetation management on critical areas such as roadside ditches and steep slopes.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources	CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC Greene Highway Dept Watershed Municipalities	Task Partners	GCSWCD CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC Watershed Municipalities
Estimated Cost	Unknown	Schedule	On-going

Notes	The GCSWCD currently owns a hydroseeder which is available to Greene county communities. Additional funding is needed to support material and
	operating costs.

6.3.4	On-site Waste Water Systems		
Recommendation	Riparian landowners should be encouraged to participate in CWC sponsored program to test and repair failing septic systems.		
Priority	High Task Leader CWC		
Funding Sources	CWC - Septic Program	Task Partners	CWC GCSWCD Health department Watershed Municipalities
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	When program opens again
Notes	(a) The CWC Septic Replacement, Rehabilitation and Repair Program has recently been expanded beyond the 60 day travel period waterbodies, and is now available to landowners who have septic systems located close to the stream.		
	(b) Landowners should also be provided ready access to information on management of their septic systems. Development of a guide book, and perhaps a printed file folder to hold cleaning/repair records should also be investigated.		

6.3.5	Road Abrasives Program		
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities should evaluate winter road abrasive procedures to address abrasive quality, application methods and spring sweeping.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	Municipalities/County
Funding Sources	Municipalities CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC	Task Partners	CWC NYSDEC NYCDEP GCSWCD GC Highway Departments NYSDOT
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Undetermined
Notes	(a) Winter road abrasive materials containing high silt/clay content can have a direct impact on water quality. Municipalities should be encouraged to use high quality washed sand materials. Cost share funding may be needed to provide incentive to use more highly priced materials.		
	(2) In 2004, the CWC funded Highway which will be available.	•	a vacuum truck for Greene County ounty municipalities.

6.3.6	Watershed Forestry Programs		
Recommendation	Watershed landowners should be encouraged to participate in the NYC DEP Watershed Forestry Program when conducting timber harvesting and other forest management activities.		
Priority	High Task Leader NYC DEP Watershed Forestry Program		
Funding Sources	NYSDEC WFP USFS	Task Partners	GCSWCD CFA NYSDEC Landowners
Estimated Cost	Determined on case by case basis	Schedule	On-going
Notes	The NYC DEP Watershed Forestry Program offers a number of services to watershed landowners. The GCSWCD-WAP will assist the WFP in promoting the WFP to watershed landowners.		

6.3.7	Stormwater Retrofits		
Recommendation	Watershed Municipalities should participate in the CWC Stormwater Retrofits Grant Program to address stormwater quality issues.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	Watershed Municipalities
Funding Sources	CWC ACOE NYSDEC USFWS others	Task Partners	NYCDEP NYSDEC, NYSDOH USEPA CWC Landowners Local Municipalities GCSWCD
Estimated Cost	Project Specific	Schedule	2002-2006
Notes	Watershed communities can address water quality impacts from stormwater facilities by undertaking retrofit projects. Projects may have added benefit of addressing flooding and other issues of direct interest to the municipality.		

6.3.8	Watershed Assessment of Major East Kill Tributaries		
Recommendation	be assessed (1/07). These tril sources, erosion hazards, and	butaries should b potential water outaries requiring	ast Kill tributaries that have yet to be studied to identify sediment quality impairments and associated further assessment and possibly I Red Kill.
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD

Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	NYCDEP
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To be determined
Notes			

6.3.9	Map and Prioritize Dump Sites on East Kill			
Recommendation	Complete a map of existing dump sites on East Kill and prioritize for remediation. In addition to degrading the creek aesthetically, dump sites may also impair water quality by leaching contaminants into the stream.			
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD			
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	NYCDEP	
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	Completed, summer 2006	
Notes				

6.3.10	Review Existing Water Quality Data		
Recommendation	Review existing water quality data and identify, to the extent possible, the most significant water quality impairments.		
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD		
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	NYCDEP Watershed Muncipalities
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	On-going
Notes			

6.3.11	Identify Locations of Potential Water Quality Impairments			
Recommendation	Identify locations of potential water quality impairments including; sources of pollution from upland areas and within the stream channel such as significant glacial lack clay exposures, and sources of contaminants from road runoff and households, and make prioritized recommendations for their mitigation.			
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD			
			NYCDEP	
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	Watershed Muncipalities CCE	
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To Be Determined	

Notes	Potential impairments to water quality can come from many non-point sources affecting both surface and ground water supplies.
-------	---

#### 6.4 Education and Outreach

Increasing public awareness about the importance of the East Kill watershed is critical to the success of this SMP. The willingness of watershed stakeholders to work together depends on an understanding of the importance of the watershed ecosystem and how it relates to their daily lives. Ultimately, people will protect what they care about, and they care about things that they understand and appreciate. In the previous sections of this Stream Management Plan, many new concepts related to stream and watershed management have been introduced. In addition to these new concepts, the SMP has identified several areas where local audiences would benefit from technical or awareness training in a variety of topics. The following recommendations identify just some of the possible recommendations for public education and outreach.

## Stakeholder Workshops

In the East Kill watershed, the range of stakeholders with a direct or indirect interest in the health and function of the stream corridor is as diverse as the watershed itself. Stakeholders include private interests such as the landowners who live along the stream, and various constituencies which prize the Catskills streams for their recreational value. On the other hand, stakeholders also include a myriad of local, state and federal interests having responsibility for management and protection of community and natural resources. While the development of a detailed Education & Outreach Plan would refine target audiences, the Project Team has identified three primary audiences. Additional participants or subaudiences will be identified as these recommendations are moved forward.

Private Parties a. Landowners (residential and business)

b. Recreational users

Municipalities a. Legislative Bodies (town boards, legislature)

b. Planning Board and Code Enforcement Office

c. Highway Department

Business Sector a. Realtors/Bankers/Insurance Agents

- b. Developers & Contractors
- c. Contractors

While a planning team will develop the specific details of the workshop program, the East Kill SMP has identified several broad categories of workshops recommended for specific target audiences. Workshops should include indoor sessions, as well as field trip and practical exercises. The following list is not inclusive of all possible workshops.

- **1. Riparian Landowners** Sessions need to provide basic understanding of fluvial process, factors impacting stability/water quality, and management decisions for the promotion of a healthy stream. Sessions should provide landowners with training in effective stream protection actions for their own property.
- 2. Municipal Leaders Sessions need to address the importance of local management of the watershed and stream resources. Municipal leaders also need to understand basic fluvial process, with an emphasis on how local decision makers can support stream health through their leadership. Sessions must address the multiple benefits which can be realized by protecting stream and watershed health. Field tours highlighting issues and specific problems with municipal leaders can provide an excellent forum for exchange between the leaders, the public and the resource managers.
- 3. Planning Boards & Code Enforcement Personnel Stream awareness/innovative development technique sessions should be targeted at this important group of local decision makers. Planning boards have a significant ability to effect change in land use practices which may impact stream health. Likewise, they have a wealth of experience from their reviews to share with the resource managers. After planning decisions are made, the local CEO is charged with oversight of development activities and they in turn must understand the impact of development activities on the stream system. Their input to the resource managers will help direct the manager toward the most practical solutions.
- **4. Local/County/State Highway Department** This group has the most direct ability to impact stream health. Day to day activities in the maintenance of the road systems and other public infrastructure frequently involves local waterways, and often actions which may seem harmless can create extreme instability problems that grow in size by moving up or downstream and become very costly to repair. This group of stakeholders must not only

have a knowledge of basic stream process, but they must also have the ability to recognize what changes are occurring in a problem area, as well as the potential impact of any management action they may take. This training would not be a substitution for highways departments seeking technical advice from experienced stream managers on a case by case basis, but would help.

- **5. Banks/Realtors/Insurance** Many landowner inquiries involve floodplains and the flood insurance program. A joint workshop session for the financial, real estate and insurance businesses would be an effective vehicle to address flood insurance, lending regulations and other issues related to these industries.
- **6. Contractors & Developers** Local contractors should receive training to allow them to make better decisions regarding impacts to streams. Contractors can benefit from training on new methodologies for addressing stream stability, the permitting process and project construction issues such as water quality protection. Contractor training should also address stormwater management as well as sediment and erosion control.

This list is not inclusive of all possible audiences and/or workshop topics. An Education and Outreach working group is suggested as a vehicle to develop a detailed strategy for conducting workshops in the East Kill watershed. Many of these workshops will be more effective if conducted over a broader area, such as the West-of-Hudson NYC Watershed.

6.4.1	<b>Education and Outreach Working Group</b>		
Recommendation	The GCSWCD will facilitate the formation of an Education & Outreach working group to develop a detailed E&O strategy which would address the number, type, subjects, instructors, logistics and other details of conducting stakeholder workshops.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	To be determined
Funding Sources	NYCDEP (project/in-kind) Grants CWC NYSDEC NYSDOS	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP Watershed Municipalities GC Planning Dept. Catskill Center NYSDEC, NYSDOS East Kill Watershed PAC Others

Estimated Cost	Unknown	Schedule	1. E&O Working group established by spring 2008
			2. Initiate 1 <sup>st</sup> round of workshops in 2008.
Notes		l integrate the E	wners, municipal leaders and local ast Kill Watershed Association as a

6.4.2	<b>Community Networking</b>		
Recommendation	Develop a centralized source, such as a non-profit group or regional agency, to distribute information and curriculum about the watershed from a location centrally located in the watershed; and establish a network among community groups by creating an East Kill Watershed Resource Book or website that describes each organization and how to contact them. Finally, develop routine methods to educate new landowners about water issues. For example, provide realtors and town halls with brochures, such as the newly created "Catskill Streams and You" brochure, or other information available from local resource partners;		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP Watershed Municipalities GC Planning Dept. Catskill Center NYSDEC, NYSDOS East Kill Watershed PAC Others
Estimated Cost	Unknown	Schedule	
Notes			

# **Educational Resources**

In addition to organized workshops and on-going school based programs, watershed stakeholders should have ready access to timely and accurate information on stream management issues. Educational resources may include access to East Kill geomorphic data for engineers and project designers, or landowner access to guidelines and other technical documents.

6.4.3	Web Based Outreach		
Recommendation	Develop a watershed web site to provide information to watershed stakeholders. Upgrade site to allow landowners interaction such as reporting stream changes, problems etc.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD/Buffer Outreach Group
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC CWC other grants	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP GC CCE Others
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	On-going
Notes	The GCSWCD proposes that the internet can be an effective resource for watershed stakeholders. The site should provide access to publications and project updates. The site exists – www.catskillstreams.org		

6.4.4	News Media Outreach		
Recommendation	Stream managers in the Catskills should work cooperatively to develop a series of columns for publication in watershed newspapers. In addition, advertise the benefits and values of the Creek by publishing maps, guides and telephone numbers for stream information in local newspapers and magazines.		
Priority	Medium Task Leader To be determined		
Funding Sources	Not applicable	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP
Estimated Cost	No cost, use existing staff	Schedule	Initiate fall 2007
Notes	Existing staff at watershed SWCDs, NYCDEP and other programs could contribute to a column that would be distributed under an established banner. Goal is to develop an identity for the information source. Columns can address general concepts, but should also provide timely updates on floods, program activities, etc.		

6.4.5	Stream Management Publications		
Recommendation	Develop a series of publications focused on stream management which can be provided to watershed stakeholders and/or used in training workshops		
Priority	High	Task Leader	NYCDEP – SMP
Funding Sources	CWC Educational Grants NYCDEP/NYSDEC Other Grants	Task Partners	GCSWCD Catskill Center CWC GC CCE Others

Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Unknown
Notes	to watershed stakeholders. guidelines, and other topic	Fact sheets, cs should be isting work as v	ream management readily available landowner stream management the focus of such publications. well as resources developed for the

6.4.6	Watershed Health Reports		
Recommendation	Annually, publish a "Watershed Health" report that can be distributed to stakeholders. The report will present updates on stream restoration projects, results of monitoring efforts and other relevant information.		
Priority	High Task Leader NYCDEP-SMP		
Funding Sources	CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC Other Grants	Task Partners	GCSWCD Catskill Center NYSDEC NYSDOS USEPA CWC Others
Estimated Cost	\$5,000 annually	Schedule	Initiate 2008
Notes	NYCDEP should continue to work with watershed stakeholders and develop annual reports on a subbasin basis.		

6.4.7	Conduct Watershed Survey		
Recommendation	Conduct a survey of watershed residents to determine their concerns, interests and current stewardship practices		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources	CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC Other Grants	Task Partners	NYCDEP Catskill Center NYSDEC NYSDOS USEPA CWC Others
Estimated Cost		Schedule	Initiate 2008
Notes	The Survey may need to be targeted to various interest groups (e.g. highwayer Superintendents, landowners, municipal officers, etc). The survey should also determine the needs of various stakeholder groups for technical assistance and information and education.		

# 6.4.8 **Additional Education Recomendations Recommendations** Develop an interesting, hands-on display and accompanying presentation that could travel with staff or volunteers to public places such as stores, festivals, community days, teen centers, churches, senior centers or scout meeting places. Include the definition of a watershed, how people affect the watershed in their daily lives, the importance of a healthy watershed and what they can do to help improve water quality; Create a video based on the hands-on display and presentation that could be purchased or loaned out to school and community groups. Host a "East Kill Watershed Week" in late April or early May with various events planned and business sponsors. Implement neighborhood workshops focusing on integrated pest management, best management practices for lawn care, maintenance of riparian buffers (vegetation along streams), residential stormwater and wetland protection to reduce pollutant loading from pesticides, toxins, sediment and nutrients. Send out a teacher survey asking what they currently teach related to watershed protection, and what they would like to have available. Based on the response, recommend the resources noted above or develop additional materials to meet their needs. Finally, support on-going school-based watershed education programs. Work at the state level to integrate environmental education into the base curriculum for public schools in a practical and creative way. Encourage or mandate the state board of public education and local school boards to add to programs and provide more time, funding and encouragement for environmental education.

Priority	Medium	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources	CWC NYCDEP NYSDEC Other Grants	Task Partners	NYCDEP Catskill Center NYSDEC NYSDOS USEPA CWC Others
Estimated Cost		Schedule	Initiate 2008
Notes			

# **6.5** Programmatic Approaches

It is unlikely that any other watershed in the country has been the subject of the detailed level of watershed management that is ongoing in the Catskill Mountain watersheds of the City of New York water supply. Since its inception in 1997, this comprehensive effort to advance water quality protection has achieved significant success. In the six years since the historic watershed MOA, a comprehensive program focused on stream restoration, septic system replacements, stormwater projects, farm management, community planning, and municipal waste water system development, has been initiated and is making significant progress in watershed protection.

To provide further coordination and to move forward with implementation of the East Kill Management Plan, a number of programmatic recommendations are presented in the text below. In most cases, the East Kill Project Team proposes that these issues be addressed on a major watershed basis (i.e. East Kill, Esopus etc). Given the number of recommendations, the diversity of interests and the magnitude of the effort required, mobilization of the public, and coordination of the agencies/interest groups is necessary to avoid conflict and redundancy. Recommendations are also provided below to assist landowners and municipalities with stream related problems, especially to provide guidance through the permitting process and during the planning phase of stream related construction projects.

6.5.1	Stream Permitting Activitie	Stream Permitting Activities	
Recommendation	NYSDEC, USACOE and other regulators should review permit applications for consistency with the recommendations presented in the East Kill Stream Management Plan. The SWCDs could also advise municipalities and the public on the permit process in an effort to improve projects and minimize public hardships/discontent.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	NYSDEC/ACOE
Funding Sources	Minimal added cost for copying SWCD's with permit applications	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	ASAP
Notes	Development of the stream corridor management plans in the NYC watershed has resulted in a significant commitment to staff training and stream condition assessment. To insure the SMP will be effective, NYSDEC and the ACOE should provide local SWCD's (or other local stream managers) the opportunity to review and comment on permit applications. The local SWCD's would complete a review concurrent and in coordination with NYSDEC to ensure timely response to the applicants. A coordinated review by the NYSDEC/ACOE and local watershed managers will provide access to a broader range of technical resources, and will facilitate coordination of management efforts on a watershed scale.		

6.5.2	Flood Response Technical Resources		
Recommendation	Guidelines, which integrate stream form and function, should be developed for use during post flood response.		
Priority	Very High	Task Leader	SEMO/NYSDEC/ACOE
Funding Sources	FEMA NYSEMO NYSDEC NYCDEP	Task Partners	FEMA/SEMO Local Highway Departments NYSDOT/NYSDEC Local SWCDs State & Local Emergency Services NYS Floodplain Managers Association others
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Establish Working group 2007  1st draft of guidelines 2007  Final guidelines 2008

Notes	During periods of post-flood response, municipalities need information and technical support to minimize impacts on stream system stability. Guidelines for "repairs" of flood damaged streams and drainage systems would greatly reduce
	risk of further instability. While these guidelines would be appropriate at the state level, the NYC watershed may be an ideal location for development of the guidelines due to data availability (i.e. regional curves). Additionally, FEMA field staff (including reserve positions) should be trained in basic fluvial geomorphology concepts and use of the Guidelines. These methods should be integrated to the greatest extent possible on all Public Assistance (PA) projects.

6.5.3	Local Land Use Regulations	S		
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities should evaluate their existing land use regulations, and adopt provisions which will protect stream corridor resources. For example, many municipalities have adopted ordinances that establish overlay maps that identify zones along watercourses or wetlands where certain development activities are subject to additional local review. Special consideration by local planning boards of development activity within these special hazard zones is intended to help protect public safety.			
Priority	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities			
Funding Sources	NYSDOS CWC	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP NYSDEC Catskill Center GC Planning Department	
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Undetermined	
Notes	Locally developed and managed ordinances related to land use issues are often one of the most effective methods for protection of critical stream resources. Sound management of stream corridors has a direct public benefit associated with reduced impacts on public infrastructure, improved water quality, and enhanced recreational values. In the East Kill watershed, municipalities would benefit from integrating a strong emphasis on stream corridor management in their community comprehensive plans, site plan review laws, zoning or other appropriate local ordinances.			

6.5.4	Water Quality Regulations		
Recommendation	A watershed-wide evaluation of regulations, including ordinances and zoning laws, should be undertaken. The evaluation should seek to identify regulatory gaps and determine if the current laws and ordinances adequately protect the watershed. The evaluation should also analyze current municipal zoning regulations for impervious surface/sprawl inducing aspects; in addition, various studies commonly conducted by municipalities, such as master plans, land-use studies, and build-out analyses are very useful to watershed protection efforts. An effort should be made to identify which of these studies need to be conducted/updated and encourage municipalities to do so.		
Priority	High Task Leader Watershed Municipalities		
Funding Sources	NYSDOS CWC DEP  Task Partners NYCDEP NYSDEC Catskill Center GC Planning Department		
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Undetermined
Notes			

6.5.5	Program Review		
Recommendation	All projects and procedures endorsed by the East Kill Project Advisory Committee should be evaluated to determine whether or not they are effective. Ineffective projects must be modified or abandoned. The Committee should encourage other entities working within the watershed to evaluate their project effectiveness as well.		
Priority	High Task Leader Project Advisory Committee		
Funding Sources	DEP	Task Partners	PAC Members
Estimated Cost	Undetermined Schedule Annually		
Notes			

6.5.6	Technical Advisory Committee
Recommendation	NYCDEP should facilitate a watershed wide Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to provide for routine networking between stream managers and interested local, state and federal parties. The TAC would work with any Watershed Associations and the Project Advisory Committee (PAC)

Priority	Medium	Task Leader	NYCDEP
Funding Sources	DEP	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYS - DOH, DOT, DEC, DOS, SEMO GC Planning Departments GC Highway Departments ACOE NRCS Local Districts Municipalities Others
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	On-going
Notes	Undetermined Schedule On-going  With many local, state and federal agencies conducting activities within the watershed, a technical stream working group would provide an effective vehicle for networking between the groups as well as to address technical issues related 'to the stream management plans, restoration projects and stream maintenance activities. The group should represent all agencies, as well as their various departments, who have any role in stream management. The group should meet at least quarterly.		

6.5.7	Watershed Associations			
Recommendation	Promote a East Kill Watershe	Promote a East Kill Watershed Association.		
Priority	High	High Task Leader Watershed Stakeholders		
Funding Sources	NYCDEP Private Foundations	Task Partners	Watershed Municipalities GCSWCD NYCDEP	
Estimated Cost	\$5,000 seed money	Schedule	On-going	
Notes	The WA can represent the diverse interests of watershed stakeholders and take a proactive position on management of the stream corridor. They can take an investigative role in monitoring the source of problems in the watershed, and coordinating neighborhoods in response to concerns, or organizing volunteers for a variety of efforts.			

6.5.8	Project Advisory Committee			
Recommendation	Establish and support a Project Advisory Committee consisting of representatives of all significant stakeholder groups to coordinate the development and implementation of the plan			
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD			
Funding Sources	NYCDEP Private Foundations	Task Partners	Watershed Municipalities NYCDEP Watershed Residents	
Estimated Cost		Schedule	Spring, 2007	
Notes				

# 6.6 Fishery Habitat

Historically, the East Kill has been characterized as a thriving trout stream and a popular stream to fish. The recommendations below for continued monitoring and improvement in fish cover are related to other recommendations in this section that emphasize riparian buffers and storm water protection measures. Continued monitoring will provide a measure of the success of these initiatives in terms of the fishery.

6.6.1	Restoration Project Fisheries Monitoring		
Recommendation	The GCSWCD should continue to support the NYCDEP/USGS effort to evaluate fisheries benefits associated with restoration projects.		
Priority	Medium Task Leader USGS/NYCDEP/DEC		
Funding Sources	NYCDEP	Task Partners	GCSWCD
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	On-going
Notes	The GCSWCD should continue to provide technical support to assist the USGS and NYCDEP in conducting post-construction monitoring of fisheries habitat conditions at restoration project sites. Monitoring will confirm fisheries benefits. USGS/DEC/DEP should build local capacity to monitor aspects of these projects and fisheries in the remainder watershed.		

6.6.2	Characterize Current Stream Ecosystem Health		
Recommendation	Characterize the status of stream ecosystem health utilizing existing fish and insect population data, and outlining the general threats to ecosystem health and integrity		
Priority	High	Task Leader	To be determined
Funding Sources	NYSDEC NYCDEP Trout Unlimited - EAS grants USFWS - PFW Program	Task Partners	NYCDEP GCSWCD Trout Unlimited NYSDEC Landowners Sportsman Others
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To Be Determined
Notes			

6.6.3	Conduct Fisherman Surveys		
Recommendation	Conduct fisherman surveys to determine perceived trends, and document any management by local angling groups and NYS DEC		
Priority	Medium Task Leader To be determined		
Funding Sources	NYSDEC NYCDEP Trout Unlimited - EAS grants USFWS - PFW Program	Task Partners	NYCDEP GCSWCD Trout Unlimited NYSDEC Landowners Sportsman Others
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To Be Determined
Notes			

6.6.4	Conduct Watershed Aquatic Habitat Study		
Recommendation	associated characteristics thr presence or absence in those	oughout East K habitats, establ c fish communit	including; mapping habitats and ill, characterization of fish species ish target fish community structure y data, and make recommendations nity
Priority	High	Task Leader	To be determined

Funding Sources	NYSDEC NYCDEP Trout Unlimited - EAS grants USFWS - PFW Program	Task Partners	NYCDEP GCSWCD Trout Unlimited NYSDEC Landowners Sportsman Others
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To Be Determined
Notes			

6.6.5	Habitat Improvement Projects		
Recommendation	A habitat assessment should be conducted in the East Kill and major tributaries, with particular attention paid to thermal refuge for cold water fish.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	To be determined
Funding Sources	NYSDEC NYCDEP Trout Unlimited - EAS grants USFWS - PFW Program	Task Partners	NYCDEP GCSWCD/UCSWCD Trout Unlimited NYSDEC Landowners Sportsman Others
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	To be determined
Notes	Habitat assessment studies in the Stony Clove noted the need for better woody debris management as well as improved stream cover.		

# **6.7** Riparian Zone Management

The role of vegetated riparian buffers in water quality protection and the promotion of stream stability can not be overstated. Healthy, well vegetated riparian buffers filter upland pollutants; provide rooting mass for bank stability and lower stream water temperatures. As noted previously in this SMP, overall riparian condition along the East Kill would benefit from enhanced protection and management in many sections of the stream corridor. While some riparian vegetation is present throughout most of the stream corridor, often the size and structure of the buffer (buffer width, plant density, plant size distribution and diversity of plant species) is inadequate to ensure long term protection of the stream. As such, the East Kill SMP has developed a series of recommendations regarding riparian buffers. These

recommendations are address preservation/protection of buffers, establishment or enhancement of buffers and control of invasive species.

On numerous occasions, local stream managers have observed everyday activities carried out by private landowners that may damage their riparian area and lead to stability problems in the future. These landowners may not be aware of the potential impacts of their actions. In fact, the East Kill project team has found that landowners often feel that these activities actually may benefit stream health. This accentuates the need for increased awareness of the role riparian vegetation in stream health. The following activities, while not inclusive of all potential impacts, addresses the predominate concerns noted by the East Kill project team.

Vegetation Maintenance - Often, in an attempt to maintain their view of the stream, landowners will remove all (or most) of the woody vegetation, and will maintain their lawn right up to the top of the streambank. While the grass vegetation may provide adequate buffering of upland water quality impacts, the loss of shrubs, understory trees, and other woody vegetation results in a dramatic reduction in the deep rooted vegetation critical to bank stability. The lack of the taller woody cover also makes it difficult to maintain the cooler stream temperatures vital to trout survival.

In general, stream side landowners should make every attempt to maintain a diverse and healthy buffer of at least 50' to 100' along the entire corridor. In these buffer areas, make every attempt to maintain the naturally occurring vegetation as it is best adapted to local climate conditions. Native plants also require less maintenance and have a better natural reproduction rate. Landowners are advised to be selective in their management of riparian vegetation. Significant gains in buffer restoration and stream stability may be made by limiting mowing and practicing selective pruning of trees and shrubs.

Yard Waste Disposal - Frequently, landowners favor the riparian zone for disposal of their yard waste. Grass clipping, leaves and woody brush are often disposed of by dumping over the streambank, with some landowners indicating that they thought this would help protect the streambank from erosion. Corridor assessments have shown that debris piles are more likely to suppress vegetative growth and in fact reduce streambank stability. The dense piles of woody cuttings "smother" all living vegetation. While the debris may provide surface

protection from rainfall impact and runoff, the materials provide no value to protection from erosive stream flows.

Landowners should evaluate their disposal of yard waste materials and seek locations outside of the desired buffer width. In cases where alternate disposal locations are limited, yard waste should be well distributed, and spread out and not densely piled. Distribution of the material will hasten decomposition, and may actually have a positive benefit by adding organic material to the soil. Watershed municipalities may want to investigate the feasibility of developing a public composting facility in the watershed area for safe disposal of these materials. Once composted, the material could be sold by the municipality, or provided free to residents.

Streamside Access - The East Kill Project Team recommends that all riparian landowners evaluate potential impacts to the riparian buffer related to their access to the stream. This is of special concern in cases where landowners must access the stream from a high bank or terrace. Repetitive use of a "trail" has been observed to concentrate surface runoff resulting in localized gully erosion. Often, this condition continues to worsen over time, with the gully getting deeper and the stream-side vegetation being undermined. The instability generally continues to worsen, eventually expanding from a localized problem to a broader impact on the buffer zone. The project team has observed sites in the Catskills where a single short trail down a steep streambank resulted in a major slope failure over 600 feet in length.

Landowners should manage their access to the stream such that it prevents erosion and loss of riparian vegetation.

The following represents a series of specific recommendations proposed by the East Kill Project Team. These recommendations address education, review of buffer impacts and potential revised or new regulations which would focus on protecting riparian vegetation.

6.7.1	Technical Resources		
Recommendation	Provide streamside landowners and others detailed technical information on the establishment and maintenance of riparian buffers.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	NYCDEP

Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC other grants WFP TNC	Task Partners	NYCDEP GCSWCD Catskill Center Cooperative Extension Watershed Forestry Program Catskill Forest Owners Assoc
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	2007
Notes	Not Determined Schedule 2007  To promote effective buffer management, detailed technical information on vegetation management (mowing, pruning), planting methods, plant selection and other topics is essential. Landowners will benefit from a series of fact sheets which present this information in a clear and concise manner. Information on maintaining buffers as a "landscape" feature, such as selecting plants with aesthetic value as well as growth habits that allow a view without compromising rooting structure, are examples of the information that is needed. In areas with high residential pressure, landowners should come to value their buffers as "stream-side gardens." Access to local technical resources will help landowners evaluate their property and develop site specific recommendations.		

6.7.2	Forested Buffers		
Recommendation	Efforts should be make to protect/enhance the stream corridor through the establishment of effective forested buffers. Stream buffers will offer some measure of protection against encroaching land uses and act to protect publich and private property.		
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD		
Funding Sources	Task GCSWCD		
		Partners	WAP
			NYCDEP
			Landowners
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	
Notes	GCSWCD has characterized and mapped riparian vegetation within the 300ft stream buffer.		

6.7.3	Agricultural Buffers		
Recommendation	The Watershed Agricultural Program Small Farms program should evaluate livestock farms in the East Kill watershed for participation in the WAC small farms program.		
Priority	Medium	Task Leader	Watershed Agricultural Council

Funding Sources	Watershed Ag Program USDA-CREP Program USFS/FWS	Task Partners	GCSWCD Watershed Ag. Council Landowners
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	
Notes	There are several small livestock farms in the East Kill watershed. These operations may be eligible for participation in the WAP small farms program. Fencing along streams, and improvements of buffer vegetation may be an appropriate management practice.		

6.7.4	Riparian Technical Assistance			
Recommendation	Watershed Managers should work to provide stakeholders ready access to technical assistance for riparian buffer problems.			
Priority	High	Task Leader	NYCDEP - SMP	
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC USDA NRCS USFWS USEPA Landowners	Task Partners	GCSWCD WAP CFA NYSDEC Landowners	
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Undetermined	
Notes	While agricultural operations and large woodlot owners have ready access to technical assistance and funding programs for riparian buffers, residential properties are not eligible for most of these services at this time. Technical assistance must be closely aligned with on-going SMP efforts and integrate stream morphology assessment. This requires technical staff that is knowledgeable in evaluating local stability as well as buffer management issues. Assistance should include site evaluations, development of site buffer management plans, and facilitation of access to watershed programs which support buffer establishment. Technical assistance should be aggressively marketed to riparian landowners using direct contracts, press and home visits.			

6.7.5	Land Use Ordinance		
Recommendation	comprehensive plans, zoning	regulations, site of determine if	ate local ordinances such as plan review laws, subdivision laws adequate consideration is given to a 6.5.5.
Priority	High	Task Leader	Watershed Municipalities

Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDOS CWC Municipalities	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP GC Planning Department Catskill Center
Estimated Cost	Not determined, minimal	Schedule	2007-2009
Notes	Watershed municipalities will receive multiple benefits from buffer management in their communities. These benefits are far more effective when integrated into all appropriate levels of land use considerations.		

6.7.6	Watershed Forestry Program		
Recommendation	Stream side landowners who practice forest harvest in the watershed should be made aware of the opportunity to participate in the NYC Watershed Forestry Program (WFP) to ensure timber harvesting operations use appropriate methods to reduce or eliminate impacts to the riparian buffer and improve its condition whenever possible.		
Priority	High Task Leader Watershed Forestry Program		
Funding Sources	WFP U.S. Forest Service	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP CFA NYSDEC - Forestry/Watersheds Landowners
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Initiate in 2007
Notes	<ol> <li>GCSWCD will facilitate landowner access to the WFP through the Watershed Assistance Program.</li> <li>If a Riparian Buffer Specialist position is created, that person should be familiar with WFP programs and encourage landowner participation.</li> </ol>		

6.7.7	Riparian Conservation Easements			
Recommendation	Provide long-term riparian buffer protection through permanent conservation easements for both currently degraded and intact buffer areas.			
Priority	High	Task Leader	To be determined	
Funding Sources	NYCDEP WAP NYSDEC other grants	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP - SMP NYCDEP - Land Acquisition NYSDEC - Forestry/Watersheds Catskill Center WAP Landowners	

Estimated Cost	Undetermined - needs further study	Schedule	Establish program development committee in 2007
			Develop draft strategy for increasing easement programs(s) by 2008
Notes	The GCSWCD strongly recommends that a committee of interested parties be convened. The committee should review all existing options to obtaining easements, evaluate roadblocks to increasing landowner participation and develop an easement program which will result in the greatest participation by interested sellers.		

6.7.8	Landowner Incentives		
Recommendation	Develop landowner incentive programs which would encourage participation in riparian buffer protection programs, and pilot the effort in the East Kill watershed.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	Undetermined
Funding Sources	NYS - EPF Other sources	Task Partners	NYS NYSDEC Local SWCD's Others
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes	The GCSWCD has done past research on programs in other states which have developed state-wide riparian buffer protection incentives similar to New York's Forest Tax Law to provide tax relief incentives for participation in riparian protection programs. The maintenance of healthy buffer zones provides multiple benefits to the public as a whole, and should be a priority of local, state and federal policies.		

## Riparian Vegetation Establishment/Enhancement

While protection programs can go along way to meeting riparian buffer objectives, current conditions on the East Kill would benefit from a proactive approach to restoration and/or enhancement of riparian vegetation. In some places, allowing natural succession to generate woody vegetation may be desirable, while in many other places new plantings will be required. In several areas, stream channel morphology must be adjusted to a more stable form in order to create the conditions necessary for the success of riparian plantings. Riparian restoration strategies must be capable of addressing what is sometimes a highly fragmented buffer condition, with many landowners involved within a very short stream distance. Unlike most agricultural buffer programs where larger plantings may be possible because only one

landowner is involved, buffers on the East Kill will often require smaller patches of plantings on multiple properties.

6.7.9	Characterization of Current Riparian Vegetation Management		
Recommendation	Characterize current riparian vegetation management in the watershed and make prioritized recommendations for changes that can improve ecosystem integrity.		
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD		
Funding Sources		Task Partners	NYCDEP Local Municipalities
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes	Field surveys of selected riparian vegetation should be conducted as part of this effort to make prioritized recommendations for management and further study of the riparian zone.		

6.7.10	Characterization of Current Riparian Vegetation Management		
Recommendation			
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources		Task Partners	NYCDEP Local Municipalities
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes			

6.7.11	Watershed Buffer Cost-Share Program		
Recommendation	Seek local, state or federal funding to initiate a riparian buffer program on lands currently not covered by watershed programs.		
Priority	High Task Leader NYCDEP		NYCDEP
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC ACOE/USFS/USFWS	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYSDEC USFS/USFWS/NRCS Landowners
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	Not determined

Notes	While watershed agricultural lands have ready access to cost-share programs for riparian vegetation establishment/enhancement, there is currently no program available to non-agricultural landowners. DEP should work with its partners to develop riparian buffer restoration cost-share programs to assist landowners with planting and maintenance of new buffer plantings.
	Develop a program to provide interested landowners free, or low cost, plant materials appropriate for use as riparian vegetation. There is a certain segment of the riparian community which would take advantage of free plant materials and provide labor to improve their buffer areas.

6.7.12	In Lieu Compensatory Mitigation		
Recommendation	NYSDEC and the ACOE should evaluate buffer restoration projects as a possible mitigation requirement on projects with smaller scale disturbances.		
Priority	High Task Leader NYSDEC/ACOE		
Funding Sources	Project Sponsors	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYCDEP Landowners Project Sponsors
Estimated Cost	Not Determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes	Not Determined Schedule Not determined  In recent years, NYSDEC and the ACOE have increasingly allowed alternate mitigation activities when applicants have minor impacts they have to address, or if site and/other conditions do not allow "replacement" mitigation. The East Kill project team strongly recommends that the regulatory agencies give greater consideration to requiring applicants to fund, or undertake, riparian buffer restoration projects as "in lieu" mitigation when appropriate		

# **Invasive Species**

As noted previously in this SMP, the East Kill is impacted by the presence of the invasive species Japanese knotweed. The knotweed presents a serious threat to riparian buffer health and at this time it is our understanding that it must be addressed if any long term success in re-establishing buffers is expected.

6.7.13	Knotweed Management Resources		
Recommendation	Watershed municipalities should manage knotweed areas in a manner that will prevent the spread and further infestation of the watershed stream corridor.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD/NYCDEP/CRISP

Funding Sources	NYCDEP Others	Task Partners	Hudsonia East Kill Watershed PAC Landowners
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	To be determined
Notes	<ul><li>(a) The Batavia Kill pilot project provided preliminary recommendations for knotweed management in 2005.</li><li>(b) A publication, providing clear and concise information in knotweeds life cycle and how to manage it should be prepared for the entire NYC watershed.</li></ul>		

## **6.8** General Stream Management Activities

Throughout history, humans have exerted their influence on stream systems in many ways. Whether it's a dam, a bridge, a roadway or home, human activities frequently impact streams. As stream managers, we struggle to find an effective balance between the needs of the community, and the needs of the stream system. The activities of people in the East Kill watershed as a whole will long be the primary factor in the health of the stream. Long range stream management strategies must therefore emphasize the human element.

The Project Team is encouraged by the success to date in increasing watershed stakeholder awareness of how streams respond to damaging management activities. The following recommendations have been formulated based on the project team's observations, as well past experiences with watershed landowners, regulators, municipal leaders and others with stream management responsibilities. For the purpose of this SMP, "stream management activities" should be considered to be those actions that in some way impact the stream corridor. In many cases these recommendations are general in nature and will require a coordinated effort of many interests to bring to fruition.

6.8.1	Stream Management Guidelines		
Recommendation	Develop detailed, science based guidelines to stream management which are readily available to those entities responsible for stream activities in the East Kill watershed. Guidelines must emphasize natural channel stability and function.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD/NYCDEP
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC	Task Partners	NYSDEC/ACOE Municipalities - leaders, highway Landowners

Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes	hydraulic geometry curves, standards and other resour Guidance must be readily continually updated as new such as typical drawings,	construction notices required for available to produce the specifications, onsor would need	em assessment methods, regional nethods, environmental protection or planning an effective project. Diect designers and sponsors, and d. Guidelines should include items permitting instructions and other l. Guidance will be useful in routine

6.8.2	Technical Assistance			
Recommendation	Provide long-term access to technical assistance to landowners and municipalities for assessment of their stream-related problems, development of effective management strategies and to supervise stream project implementation.			
Priority	High Task Leader GCSWCD			
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC Greene County Local Municipalities others	Task Partners	GCSWCD NYSDEC Greene County Local Municipalities Landowners	
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	Undetermined	
Notes	The GCSWCD, NYCDEP and local municipalities should evaluate how to insure long-term availability of the high levels of technical resources currently available in the Watershed.			

6.8.3	Highway Activities		
Recommendation	The Town and County Highway Departments and NYSDOT should integrate geomorphology principles in all new projects and routine maintenance activities related to the East Kill basin.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	GCSWCD
Funding Sources	Greene County Municipalities Federal Highway Admin FEMA NYS-CHIP	Task Partners	Greene County Local Municipalities NYCDEP NYSDEC ACOE Landowners
Estimated Cost	Varies by project	Schedule	On-going

Notes	Activities related to maintenance of highway infrastructure accounts for the vast majority of stream management activities. Whether it is routine maintenance, new construction, or flood response, highway departments as well as private landowners must maintain their roadways and attendant structures. The goals of the highway departments/landowners are not necessarily in conflict with sound stream management. While compromise must be made at times, highway activities can greatly benefit from consideration of stream process. Demonstration restoration projects to date have included several infrastructure		
	components. These projects should continue be used to promote use of Natural Channel Design methods by highway departments and landowners.		

6.8.4	Public Lands		
Recommendation	Governmental landowners in the East Kill watershed should manage their lands using natural channel stability concepts, and should serve as a model for other watershed landowners.		
Priority	High	Task Leader	Governmental Landowners
Funding Sources	Project specific	Task Partners	NYCDEP NYSDEC Local Municipalities Landowners
Estimated Cost	Project Specific	Schedule	Undetermined
Notes	NYSDEC, NYCDEP, municipalities and local institutions (i.e. School District), should each conduct an evaluation of all riparian lands, and identify protection, restoration and management needs. Protection, restoration and management recommendations as set forth in this SMP should be implemented as appropriate.		

6.8.5	Community Stormwater Plans		
Recommendation	Integrate the evaluation of stormwater impacts on stream systems in the development of community based Stormwater Management Plans (SWMP).		
Priority	High	Task Leader	Watershed Assistance Program
Funding Sources	CWC - Stormwater Retrofits NYSDEC - EPF ACOE - WRDA NYSDOT - Environmental Benefits Municipalities	Task Partners	CWC NYCDEP - multiple sections NYSDEC NYSDOT Greene County Landowners GCSWCD
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	2007-2009
Notes	The GCSWCD has placed a high priority on participating in the new stormwater planning program. The GCSWCD Watershed Assistance Program (WAP) will take the lead on developing SWMPs on a watershed basis.		

6.8.6	Practical Training		
Recommendation	Provide municipal highway departments and local contractors with hands-on training in various stream management activities. Conduct field days, workshops and demonstration projects to meet this goal.		
Priority	Very High	Task Leader	NYCDEP
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC NYSDOT Federal Highway Admin	Task Partners	GCSWCD Local Municipalities Greene County Cornell Local Road Program NYS Association Highway Highway Supers NYSDEC ACOE (regulatory) NYSDOT
Estimated Cost	Undetermined	Schedule	2008-2010
Notes	The Project Team recommends that a comprehensive "hands-on" training program be developed for those entities that are actively involved in stream management activities. The training would provide information on construction methods, stream stabilization "tools" (i.e. rock structures). Workshops must promote hands-on or site based training.		

6.8.7	Stream Stability Restoration		
Recommendation	Secure funding commitments for additional unfunded restoration projects on the East Kill as discussed in individual management segments.		
Priority	Very High	Task Leader	NYCDEP/GCSWCD
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC ACOE USEPA Municipalities Landowners	Task Partners	NYSDEC ACOE Landowners others
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes	In this SMP, the Project Team identified a number of reaches which are strongly recommended for restoration. Additional restoration sites should be prioritized, ranked and continuing funding sought.		

6.8.8	Stream Stability Restoration and Temperature Protection		
Recommendation	Work with local highway departments to minimize the negative effects of bank armor through the use of vegetation within and above the armor. Replant existing rip rap. This will both increase the effectiveness and strength of the rip rap and cool water temperatures through shading and reducing the thermal effects of heated rock.		
Priority	Very High	Task Leader	GCSWCD/NYCDEP
Funding Sources	NYCDEP NYSDEC ACOE USEPA Municipalities Landowners	Task Partners	Highway Departments GCHD Landowners
Estimated Cost	Not determined	Schedule	Not determined
Notes			