IV-I: Land Use/Land Cover

In any stream system, the land use pattern in the watershed will likely have the greatest influence on water quality and stream stabilization. The watershed's land cover directly impacts stream hydrology by influencing stormwater runoff. Forested and grassland areas produce significantly less runoff after a storm event than impervious surfaces. Land use associated with significant impervious areas (roads, parking lots, buildings, etc.) also promotes quicker peaks in stream flows as the landscape has lost or reduced its function to delay the travel of rainfall to the drainage system.



In addition to causing changes in watershed

hydrology, land use patterns also have the potential to directly impact stream stability due to human activities and management practices. For example, increased development is often characterized by the need to develop new road systems with associated culverts, bridges, and fills along the stream corridor. In an agricultural land use situation, farm operators often maximize their utilization of the prime farmlands on the floodplains by developing berms, reducing riparian buffers, and conducting bank stabilization and stream "maintenance" activities. A stream and its watershed are directly linked and as land use changes occur, it can be expected that the stream system will respond, usually in ways which are less than favorable to the residents of the watershed.

1. HISTORIC LAND USE

In the span of about 200 years, the Batavia Kill watershed has experienced significant changes in land use and land cover. From the tanning industry's deforestation of the mountain slopes to the rise and decline in agriculture, the Batavia Kill has been a watershed in flux for many years. When first settled in 1784, the watershed was a vast wilderness with forests dominated by hemlock, and man had yet to put his signature on the landscape.

By the early 1800s, the tanning industry had Figure IV-36: Estimated land use in the stripped the mountains of hemlock, and much of Batavia Kill Watershed in 1965, USDA. the remaining hardwoods and other trees had also

Batavia Kill Watershed Estimated Land Use 1965

10% Cropland 10% Pasture 6% Idle Land 4% Roads/Hamlets 70% Forest

been removed for fuel and lumber. This newly opened land was soon converted to agricultural use. A study of old photographs and drawings of the area indicate that much of the watershed was at one time converted from forest cover to crop-land and pasture. These changes likely had a major impact on the watershed's hydrology, and subsequently its stream systems, but these impacts are difficult to quantify with any certainty. The only land use inventory completed prior to this SMP was Figure IV-37: View of Windham are in 1920's, note in the Batavia Kill flood control project, in the early 1960s (Figure IV-36).



the presence of extensive open fields on the lower slopes of the mountains and the valley floor. Photo Source Town of Windham Website

2. TRENDS IN LAND USE & LAND COVER

During the course of the Batavia Kill Stream Corridor Management Pilot Project, the GCSWCD has spent significant time reviewing aerial photographs for the period from 1958 to the present. The dramatic replacement of fields and open spaces by young forests is a standout feature of the aerial record. An example of this change is demonstrated in the series of aerial photographs of the Red Falls area shown in Figure IV-38.

The photo on the left (Figure IV-38) shows that as late as 1959 there were still fairly large areas of land in open fields and used for agricultural purposes. At this time, the Conine Farm was active and the fields were maintained for hay and pasture. By 1995 (center), the Conine Farm had been inactive for a number of years and the encroachment of woody vegetation on the fields is clearly visible in many areas of the photo. In the fall of 2000, new aerials (right) of the Batavia Kill valley showed that this trend has continued, as much as 50% of the open fields present in 1959 are now forested.

This loss of open fields has occurred as many family farms in the watershed have gone out



Figure IV-38: Time progression of vegetative cover change in the Red Falls area. Photos left to right are from 1959, 1995, and 2000. Note the open fields in 1959 (left) have mostly disappeared by 2000 (right).

of production. These changes in land use have the potential to significantly impact watershed hydrology. As land cover changes from open hay fields to forest, the runoff characteristics of the land While also change. grasslands are typically considered good land cover that does not produce significant runoff. forests are even better. As fields

and grasslands revert to forest, the amount of rainfall which runs off the land as sheet flow is reduced even further.

For example, using current hydrological models, a pasture in fair hydrologic condition would produce about 36% more rainfall runoff than a mature forest in good hydrologic condition, with an equal amount of rainfall per unit area (USDA). As the Batavia Kill watershed reverts from old farm fields to mature forests, total rainfall runoff continues to decrease and the impact on the stream system of flashy, high runoff conditions also decreases.

3. CURRENT LAND USE / LAND COVER

For the purpose of this SMP, the GCSWCD utilized an existing GIS coverage of Land Use & Land Cover previously mapped by NYCDEP. Based on LANDSAT TM data (1992), Land Cover maps with 25 meter resolution were completed for the entire state. The data for the Batavia Kill watershed from this mapping effort is summarized in **Table IV-4** below and **Map IV-8**.

As the data shows, the Batavia Kill watershed is dominated by forest cover with approximately 80% covered by deciduous, coniferous, or mixed forest. Mixed grass/shrub cover and straight grassland are the second most common types of land cover, with agricultural uses, impervious surfaces and waterbodies combined comprising just over 1% of the watershed land cover.

| Land Cover | Acres | Sq. Miles | % of Cover |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------|------------|
| Forest Deciduous | 29,922 | 46.75 | 64% |
| Forest Coniferous | 2,378 | 3.72 | 5% |
| Forest Mixed | 5,148 | 8.04 | 11% |
| Grass/Shrub Mixture | 13 | 0.02 | <.01% |
| Grasslands | 8,837 | 13.81 | 19 % |
| Row Crops (corn) | 27 | 0.04 | .25 % |
| Permanent Cover Crops (Alfalfa) | 61 | 0.10 | <.02% |
| Impervious Surfaces | 286 | 0.45 | <.66% |
| Water Surfaces | 129 | .20 | <.03% |
| Totals | 46,801 | 73.13 | |

Table IV-4: 1992 Land Cover of the Batavia Kill Watershed





Data Sources: Hydrography- digitized by NYCDEP from USGS Quad and SCS Soil Survey Maps 1993, edited by GCSWCD to show only streams found on USGS topo quads. Watershed Boundary: NYCDEP derived from USGS topography 1965, Land Use/Land Cover-25 meter, classified in 1996 by NYCDEP mapping & modeling from 1992 LANDSAT TM data.

Roads-US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census 1998, from 1995 TIGER/Line files. Map produced by Greene County Soli & Water Conservation District, January 2001. Note: GIS data are approximate according to their scale and resolution.

They may be subject to error and are not a substitute for on-site inspection or survey.

Scale 1:72000

6000 0 6000 12000 Feet

| Land Use | Acres | Square Miler | %Land Use |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Forest Deciduo us | 25,921.71 | 46.75 | 64% |
| ForestConiferous | 2,378.15 | 3.72 | 5% |
| Forest Mised | 5, 148.22 | 3.04 | 11% |
| Gra II/Shrub | 12.85 | 0.02 | 0% |
| Gran | 8,836.71 | 13.81 | 15 % |
| Corn | 26.97 | 0.04 | 0% |
| Alfalfa | 61.45 | 0.10 | 0% |
| In pervious Surface | 285.72 | 0.45 | 1% |
| inda ter | 128.71 | 0.20 | 0% |

Note: NYS and C ounty roads totaling 62 miles length by an assumed 24ft width, or approximately 180 aores (0.28 square miles) were added to impervious surface on the above map but not included in area calculations shown in the table.

Batavia Kill Watershed Land Use/Land Cover

Map. IV-8 Greene County Soil & Water Conservation District Batavia Kill Stream Corridor Management Plan Based on observations made by the GCSWCD between 1992 and the present, these breakdowns of land use are thought to still provide a fairly characterization of accurate the watershed. While the watershed has experienced some increases in impervious surfaces since 1992, the GCSWCD finds it probable that impervious land use is still less than 1% of the total watershed area. This is supported by data reported by The Chazen Companies in the "Draft Town of Windham Comprehensive Plan" (July



Figure IV-39: The Enclave at Windham Mountain is typical of the building trend in the 1980's and early 1990's.

2000). Based on a review of building permits, Chazen reported that in the three years between 1996 and 1998, of 186 building permits issued, only 30 were for new homes with the balance issued for renovations or accessory stuctures.

4. PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION

Since none of the municipalities in the watershed have zoning regulations in place, the GCSWCD examined the Property Type Classifications as shown on the records of the Greene County Real Property Tax Service Department. For each tax parcel in the watershed, Greene County Real Property maintains a coding system based on the present use of the property. In the Batavia Kill watershed, the Property Type Classifications are broken down as shown in Table IV-5 and Map IV-9.

While residential properties and vacant land account for approximately 71% of the watershed area, residential development in the watershed to date has been primarily comprised of single homes on large lots, with some higher density construction concentrated in several condominium complexes. In recent years, development or expansion of condominium units has been minimal and build-out of existing subdivisions has been slow.

The Batavia Kill watershed also contains a number of properties which are in public ownership (Map and therefore are protected from IV-10), development. At the time of this SMP, 10.52 % of the watershed is owned by the State of New York landuses comprise approximately 3% of the (6,732 acres) with the Batavia Kill Watershed



Figure IV-40: Golf is a significant recreational activity in the watershed, with two large courses present. Recreational watershed area.

District (311 acres), NYCDEP (115 acres) and NY/NJ Trail Conference (53 acres) owning less than 0.5% of the watershed each. It is expected that NYCDEP will continue to make acquisitions in the watershed to provide protection to additional properties.

| Property Type | Acres | Sq. Miles | % Area |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| Agriculture | 2,236 | 3.49 | 5 % |
| Commercial | 733 | 1.15 | 2 % |
| Community Service | 644 | 1.01 | 1 % |
| Industrial | 208 | 0.32 | < 0.5 % |
| Public Services | 392 | 0.61 | < 1 % |
| Recreation & Entertainment | 1,157 | 1.81 | 3 % |
| Residential | 16,579 | 25.90 | 36 % |
| Vacant land | 15,963 | 24.94 | 35 % |
| Wild, Forested, Conservation, Parks | 7,167 | 11.20 | 16% |

 Table IV-5: Property Use Classification in the Batavia Kill Watershed

In recent publications, it has been reported that the threshold for adverse effects of stormwater runoff on stream system stability begins at a point when approximately 10% of the watershed area is comprised of impervious surfaces (Fischenich 2000). In the case of the Batavia Kill, it would take a 10 fold increase in impervious surfaces to reach this threshold. However, a small amount of development right on the stream could have a much greater impact on the Batavia Kill than a large amount of development in areas far from the stream.



this threshold. However, a small amount of **Figure IV-41**: The Thompson House in Windham is development right on the stream could typical of the resort & tourism industry which is important have a much greater impact on the Batavia in the watershed.





Public Land Owners Batavia Kill Watershed District

| | New York-New Jersey Trail Conference |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| | NYC DEP |
| | State of New York |
| | Tax Parcels |
| N | Roads |
| | Batavia Kill Watershed |

Data Sources:

Streams-NYCDEP from USGS Quad & SCS Soil Survey Maps 1993, edited by GCSW CD to show only streams found on USGS quads Public Lands-NYC DEP Tax Parcels-GC Department of Real Property

Roads-US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census 1998 from 1995 Tiger/line files Map Produced By Greene County Soil & Water Conservation District, January 2001 Note: GIS data area approximate according to their scale and resolution.

They may be subject to error and are not a substitute for on site inspection or survey.

Scale 1:72000

6000 6000 12000 18000 Feet 0

| Owner | Area (Ac) | Area (Sig Mi) |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Batavia Kill W atershed | 311 | 0.49 |
| NYC DEP | 115 | 0.18 |
| NY/NJ Trail | 53 | 0.08 |
| State of New York | 6,732 | 10.52 |
| Total | 7,211 | 11.27 |

Note: Approximately 15% of the Batavia Kill Watershed is publicly owned land.

Batavia Kill Watershed **Public Land** Map IV-10 Greene County Soil & Water Conservation District Batavia Kill Stream Corridor Management Plan

5. LAND USE REGULATION

Since the Batavia Kill watershed is located in and contributes to the New York City watershed, changes in land use and general development activities are not only governed by a wide range of local, state, and federal rules and regulations, but also by those outlined in the 1997 NYC Watershed Memorandum of Agreement. In each case, these regulations provide a number of diverse mechanisms that provide protection to the Watershed's water resources. The Towns of Ashland, Windham and Prattsville do not have local zoning laws. Only Windham has a local Site Plan Review Law. Thus, at the local level, NYC Watershed Rules and Regulations provide significant protection for watershed resources.

The absence of zoning rules does not eliminate all local control over land use changes in the watershed. Each town has a number of other local ordinances which can be used to guide not only the location and type of development in the watershed, but also potential impacts on the watershed's resources. **Table IV-6** summarizes the current status of local ordinances in the Batavia Kill watershed ^[14] (Greene County Planning, 1992).

| Rule/Regulation | Ashland | Windham | Prattsville |
|---|----------|----------|-------------|
| Comprehensive Plan | 1976 (m) | 2000 (d) | 2000 (d) |
| Zoning Law | No | No (s) | No |
| Subdivision Regulations | 1985 (r) | 1990 | 1987 |
| Site Plan Review | No | 1989 | No |
| Mobile Home Law | 1988 (r) | 1970 | Yes |
| Highway Standards | 1983 | 1984 | Yes |
| Floodplain Regulations | 1998 (r) | 1988 | 1983 |
| (d) draft (r) revised date (s) setback ordinance (m) Mountaintop Study Commission Gen. Dev. Plan | | | |

Table IV-6: Local Laws & Ordinances for Land Use in the Batavia Kill Watershed

6. NYC WATERSHED REGULATIONS

On May 1, 1997, the revised NYC Watershed Rules and Regulations became effective in the watershed. As adopted, the rules and regulations provide additional controls on land use in the watershed. Rules on septic systems, impervious surfaces and stormwater management apply directly to potential impacts on the stream system. The septic system rules will reduce or eliminate development on some of the steeper, poorer sites, while regulations on impervious surfaces and stormwater will insure protection of water quality, and minimize future impacts caused by increased runoff and erosion from development sites. In addition to the rules and regulations as revised in 1997, the NYC Department of Environmental Protection has a large professional staff responsible for reviewing development activities in the watershed.

In many watershed or stream corridor management planning efforts, future changes in the

watershed's character is often recognized as a major factor influencing the success of the planning process. In the case of the NYC watershed, the rules and regulations coupled with professional oversight provide arguably one of the strongest protection tools of any watershed in the country. While the rules and regulations do not affect every potential impact on the watershed, they can be used effectively to reduce many future impacts.